

Detailed Contents

Preface

Introduction

Summary Contents

Glossary of Terms and Citations

I—The Source, Purpose, and Legal Effect of Patents

1. The Policies That Shape the Law of Patents
 - A. Common Law: Natural Rights of Inventors
 - §1.1 Right to Exploit
 - B. Constitutional Policy: Encouragement of Innovation and Investment
 - §1.2 Promoting the Progress of the Useful Arts
 - §1.3 Regulating Interstate and Foreign Commerce
 - §1.4 Federal Preemption of State Action
 - §1.5 Sovereign Immunity
 - §1.6 Due Process
 - §1.7 Right to Jury Trial
 - §1.8 Full Faith and Credit: Preclusion by Judgment
 - C. Congressional Policy: Technocracy as a Rising Political Force
 - §1.9 Judicial Review
 - §1.10 Codification of Judicial Decisions
 - §1.11 Legislative Stability and Congressional Response
 - §1.12 Creation of the Federal Circuit
 - §1.13 Preserving Competition
 - §1.14 Trademarks and Unfair Competition
 - §1.15 Allocation of Certain Patent Litigation to the Court of Federal Claims and the International Trade Commission
 - D. Judicial Policy: Competing Considerations
 - §1.16 Substantive Patent Law Made by Judges
 - §1.17 Technical Competence of Judges
 - E. Lawyers: Economic, Technologic, and Professional Factors
 - §1.18 The Role of Lawyers
 - F. Executive and Administrative Policy
 - §1.19 The Role of the PTO
 - §1.20 The Role of the FTC and the Justice Department
2. Congressional Implementation of Constitutional Authority
 - A. The Language of Patent Law: Some Conventions and Definitions
 - §2.1 Invention vs. Patentable Invention: Nonobviousness
 - §2.2 The Hypothetical Person of Ordinary Skill
 - §2.3 Prior Art and Statutory Bars: Critical Date
 - §2.4 Patent Prosecution
 - §2.5 Rejection vs. Defense
 - §2.6 Prosecution History vs. File Wrapper
 - §2.7 Filing Date vs. Effective Filing Date: Continuations and Divisions

- §2.8 Invention Date: Conception and Actual or Constructive Reduction to Practice
- §2.9 Claim Construction: *Markman* Proceedings
- §2.10 Claims: Broad and Narrow
- §2.11 Claims: Genus and Species
- §2.12 Patentee; Patent Owner; Inventor
- §2.13 Reasonable Foreseeability: A Catchall Analytical Probe
- B. Establishment of an Administrative Agency: The Patent and Trademark Office
 - §2.14 The PTO
 - §2.15 The Examination System
- C. Fundamental Structure of the Substantive Patent Legislation
 - §2.16 Patents as Incentives: Secrecy vs. Disclosure
 - §2.17 Patents as Property
 - §2.18 The Patent Grant: A Right to Exclude; Domination
 - §2.19 Specification: Written Description
 - §2.20 Claims
 - §2.21 Infringement: Copying and Intent
 - §2.22 First to Invent; Interferences; Interfering Patents
 - §2.23 Novelty: Anticipation: Prior Art
 - §2.24 Grace Period: Statutory Bar
 - §2.25 Obviousness
 - §2.26 Utility; Operability
 - §2.27 Eligibility: Patentable Subject Matter
 - §2.28 Territorial and Chronological Scope
 - §2.29 Correction of Patents: Reissue; Reexamination; Disclaimer; Inventorship
 - §2.30 Joint Ownership
 - §2.31 Remedies: Civil Action for Infringement
 - §2.32 Remedies: Damages and Injunctions
 - §2.33 Remedies: Increased Damages and Attorney Fees
 - §2.34 Plant Patents
 - §2.35 Design Patents
- D. The Presumption That a Patent Is Valid
 - §2.36 Presumption of Validity
- E. The Doctrine of Patent Exhaustion
 - §2.37 Exhaustion of the Patent Right
- F. Governing Precedent and Standard of Review: The Federal Circuit
 - §2.38 Governing Law: Patent Issues
 - §2.39 Governing Law: Substantive Nonpatent Issues
 - §2.40 Governing Law: Procedural Issues
 - §2.41 Review Standards; Legal Questions
 - §2.42 Review Standards; Factual Questions
 - §2.43 Review Standards; Abuse of Discretion

II—Patent Infringement

3. How Patent Claims Are Construed
 - A. Why Claim Construction is Necessary
 - §3.1 Claims Define the Patented Invention
 - §3.2 Claim Construction Controls Much of the Decisional Process
 - B. Claim Construction as a Question of Law: The *Markman* Rule
 - §3.3 Claims Are Construed by the Court
 - §3.4 Timing and Nature of the Claim Construction Exercise;
Markman Proceedings
 - §3.5 Objective of Claim Construction
 - §3.6 Intrinsic vs. Extrinsic Evidence
 - §3.7 Critical Commentary: Claim Construction as a Matter of Law
 - C. A Protocol for Claim Construction
 - §3.8 Ordinary Meaning Is Presumed
 - §3.9 Determining Ordinary Meaning
 - §3.10 Deviations from Ordinary Meaning
 - D. Some General Rules for Claim Construction
 - §3.11 Claim Limitations; Dependent Claims
 - §3.12 Extraneous Limitations Prohibited
 - §3.13 Claim Differentiation
 - §3.14 Preamble Statements as Limitations
 - §3.15 Consistency
 - §3.16 Accused Infringement
 - §3.17 Litigation vs. Prosecution; Preservation of Validity
 - §3.18 Claims Construed to Cover at Least One Disclosed Embodiment
 - E. The Determination of Ordinary Meaning
 - §3.19 The Language of the Claim Is Controlling
 - §3.20 Dictionaries and Treatises; Prior Art
 - §3.21 Accepted Terms of Art in Patent Law
 - F. The Evidence That Can Be Used to Confirm or Rebut the Presumption of Ordinary Meaning
 - §3.22 Content of Specification
 - §3.23 Title, Abstract, and Drawing as Intrinsic Evidence
 - §3.24 Prosecution History as Intrinsic Evidence
 - §3.25 Inventor Testimony
 - §3.26 Expert Testimony
 - §3.27 Admissions
 - G. Deviations from Ordinary Meaning
 - §3.28 Textual Reference in Claims Required
 - §3.29 Inventor Lexicography
 - §3.30 Words of Manifest Restriction or Exclusion
 - §3.31 Characterization of an Embodiment as the Invention
 - §3.32 Prosecution Disclaimer
 - §3.33 Claim Construction and PHE Distinguished
 - H. The Special Case of Means-Plus-Function (MPF) Claims
 - §3.34 MPF Limitations: Coverage in General

- §3.35 Infringement of MPF Claims; Relation to Traditional Doctrine of Equivalents (DOE)
- §3.36 MPF Limitations: Construction in General
- §3.37 Identification of MPF Limitations
- §3.38 Identification of Specified Function
- §3.39 Identification of Corresponding Structure
- §3.40 Method Claims: Step-Plus-Function Limitations
- I. Adequacy of the Claims
- 4. Direct Infringement: Literal Infringement
 - A. Fundamental Concepts
 - §4.1 Direct Infringement: Statutory Definition
 - §4.2 Direct Infringement as a Tort; Intent; Copying
 - §4.3 Direct Infringement: Territorial Scope
 - §4.4 Direct Infringement: Chronological Scope
 - B. Acts That Constitute Direct Infringement
 - §4.5 Single Activity and Act Sufficient
 - §4.6 Making as Direct Infringement
 - §4.7 Using as Direct Infringement
 - §4.8 Sale as Direct Infringement
 - §4.9 Offer to Sell as Direct Infringement; Threatened Infringement
 - §4.10 Importation as Direct Infringement
 - §4.11 Process Patents; Products of Patented Processes
 - §4.12 Product-By-Process Claims
 - C. Literal Infringement; Identity
 - §4.13 Claim vs. Accused Infringement
 - §4.14 The All-Limitations (or All-Elements) Rule
 - §4.15 Additions and Improvements; Separate Patentability
 - §4.16 Imperfect Practice
 - §4.17 Capability of Infringement
 - §4.18 Infringement of MPF Claims; 35 U.S.C. §112¶6
 - D. Miscellaneous Rules of Decision
 - §4.19 Burden of Proof
 - §4.20 Infringement of One Claim Sufficient
 - §4.21 Dependent vs. Independent Claims
 - E. Repair vs. Reconstruction
 - §4.22 Repair Not an Act of Infringement
 - §4.23 Replication or Reconstruction Forbidden
 - §4.24 Critical Commentary: Reconstruction: Impossible to Define and Almost Never Found
 - F. Other Circumstances That May Avoid Liability for Infringement
 - §4.25 Invalidity of the Patent
 - §4.26 Practicing the Prior Art; 35 U.S.C. §273
 - §4.27 Seeking FDA Approval
 - §4.28 License, Express or Implied
 - §4.29 Reverse Equivalents
 - §4.30 Infringement for Experimental or Innocent Purposes

- §4.31 Infringement of Medical Procedure Patent
 - G. Appellate Review
 - §4.32 Infringement as a Question of Fact; Appellate Review
 - §4.33 Repair vs. Reconstruction; Appellate Review
- 5. Direct Infringement: Infringement by Equivalents
 - A. The Doctrine of Equivalents (DOE)
 - §5.1 A Modern Statement of the DOE
 - §5.2 A Modern Rationale for the DOE
 - §5.3 Tension Between the DOE and 35 U.S.C. §112¶2
 - §5.4 The All Limitations Rule: A Check on the DOE
 - §5.5 Prosecution History Estoppel (PHE) and Other Legal Restrictions on the DOE
 - §5.6 Timing of the DOE Inquiry; After-arising Equivalents
 - §5.7 Testing for Equivalency: Insubstantiality and the Triple Identity Test
 - §5.8 Range of Equivalents; Pioneer Inventions
 - §5.9 Infringement by Equivalents; Appellate Review
 - §5.10 Infringement by Equivalents; Special Considerations in Jury Cases
 - B. Limitations on the DOE
 - §5.11 The *Wilson* Protocol: Prior Art and the Hypothetical Claim Analysis
 - §5.12 The Disclosure-Dedication Rule: Unclaimed Disclosure and Reasonably Foreseeable Equivalents
 - §5.13 Specification Disclaimer; An “All Advantages” Rule?
 - C. Prosecution History Estoppel (PHE): In General
 - §5.14 A Modern Formulation of PHE
 - §5.15 A Modern Rationale for PHE
 - §5.16 Prosecution History Estoppel; Appellate Review
 - D. PHE: Amendments That Create an Estoppel
 - §5.17 Narrowing Amendments Made for a Reason Substantially Related to Patentability
 - §5.18 The *Warner-Jenkinson* Presumption
 - §5.19 Examiner Need Not Have Been Right in Requiring Amendment
 - §5.20 Overcoming the *Warner-Jenkinson* Presumption: The *Festo* Rule
 - §5.21 What Is a Substantial Relation to Patentability?; Voluntary Amendments
 - §5.22 Scope of Estoppel: The *Festo* Presumption
 - §5.23 What is a Narrowing Amendment?
 - §5.24 Amendments to Other Claims
 - §5.25 Overcoming the *Festo* Presumption
 - E. PHE: Arguments That Create an Estoppel
 - §5.26 Arguments and Remarks Distinguished From Amendments
 - §5.27 Content of the Prosecution History
 - F. Equivalents Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §112¶6
 - §5.28 MPF Equivalence: Identical Function Required
 - §5.29 MPF Equivalence: Does Not Extend to After-arising Equivalents
 - §5.30 MPF Equivalence: Insubstantial Differences and Interchangeability

- §5.31 MPF Equivalence: Prior Art Not a Restriction
- 6. Indirect Infringement: Inducement and Contribution
 - A. Vicarious Liability in General
 - §6.1 Contributory Infringement and Active Inducement of Infringement
 - §6.2 The Direct Infringement Requirement
 - §6.3 The Elements of Knowledge and Intent
 - §6.4 Indirect Infringement; Appellate Review
 - B. Contributory Infringement
 - §6.5 Contributory Infringement is Largely a Statutory Doctrine
 - C. Inducement of Infringement
 - §6.6 Inducement; Proof of Intent
 - §6.7 Acts of Inducement Prior to Patent Issuance
 - §6.8 Vicarious Liability of Corporate Officers; Alter Ego

III—Remedies for Patent Infringement

- 7. Injunctive Relief
 - A. Injunctions in General
 - §7.1 Statutory Basis for Injunctions against Patent Infringement
 - §7.2 Injunctive Relief; Applicable Law
 - §7.3 Injunctive Relief; Appellate Review
 - §7.4 Injunctive Relief; Timing of Appellate Review
 - §7.5 Staying Injunctions
 - §7.6 Injunctions Against Activity Other Than Infringement
 - B. Injunctions Against Infringement
 - §7.7 Rationale for Injunctions Against Infringement
 - §7.8 Injunctions against Infringement Are Nonetheless Discretionary
 - §7.9 Framing Injunctive Relief
 - §7.10 Effect of Injunction on Nonparties
 - C. Enforcement of Injunctions: Contempt
 - §7.11 Rationale for Contempt Proceedings to Enforce Injunctions Against Infringement; Civil vs. Criminal Contempt
 - §7.12 Contempt Proceeding vs. Separate Infringement Action
 - §7.13 Contempt; No Collateral Attack on Validity
 - §7.14 Contempt; Appellate Review
 - §7.15 Contempt; Applicable Law
 - D. Preliminary Injunctions
 - §7.16 Preliminary Injunction; Statutory Basis and Purpose
 - §7.17 Preliminary Injunction; Applicable Law
 - §7.18 Preliminary Injunction; Procedural Requirements; Findings and Conclusions
 - §7.19 Preliminary Injunction; Substantive Requirements
 - §7.20 Preliminary Injunction; Likelihood of Success on the Merits
 - §7.21 Preliminary Injunction; Balance of Rights and Hardships
 - §7.22 Preliminary Injunction; Irreparable Harm
 - §7.23 Preliminary Injunction; Public Interest
 - §7.24 Preliminary Injunction; Appellate Review

8. Exclusion Orders: U.S. International Trade Commission
 - §8.1 ITC and 19 U.S.C. §1337; Constitutional Validity
 - §8.2 Patent Infringement as an Unfair Act or Method of Competition
 - §8.3 Domestic Industry Requirement
 - §8.4 Comparison to Civil Action in District Court
 - §8.5 ITC Remedies
 - §8.6 ITC Orders; Appellate Review

9. Damages, Interest, and Costs
 - A. Damages in General
 - §9.1 Damages; Statutory Basis; Actual Damages vs. Reasonable Royalty
 - §9.2 The Fundamental Damages Inquiry: Adequate Compensation for the Infringement
 - §9.3 Proof of Damages; Reasonable Probability
 - §9.4 Causation; Reasonable Foreseeability; Consequential Business Injury
 - §9.5 The Entire Market Value Rule
 - §9.6 Calculation of Damages; Selection of a Basis for Measurement
 - §9.7 Calculation of Damages; Reasonable Approximation
 - §9.8 Multiple Recoveries Forbidden; The Full Compensation Doctrine
 - §9.9 Damages; Appellate Review
 - B. Actual Damage: Lost Profits
 - §9.10 Lost Profits; “But For” Causation; The *Panduit* Formulation
 - §9.11 Lost Profits; “But For” Causation; The “Two-Supplier Market” Test
 - §9.12 Demand for the Patented Product or Process
 - §9.13 Absence of Acceptable Noninfringing Substitutes
 - §9.14 Patentee’s Capability of Meeting Demand
 - §9.15 Patentee’s Profit Margin
 - §9.16 Lost Profits Attributable to Factors Other Than Loss of Sales; Price Erosion
 - §9.17 Expected Growth and Projected Future Losses
 - C. Reasonable Royalty
 - §9.18 Established Royalty
 - §9.19 Reasonable Royalty; The Hypothetical Negotiation
 - §9.20 Reasonable Royalty; *Georgia-Pacific* Factors
 - §9.21 Reasonable Royalty; No Recovery for Consequential Business Injury
 - D. Interest and Costs
 - §9.22 Costs; Authority
 - §9.23 Costs; Prevailing Party
 - §9.24 Costs in Patent Cases
 - §9.25 Costs; Appellate Review
 - §9.26 Interest on Judgments
 - §9.27 Prejudgment Interest (Delay Damages); Entitlement
 - §9.28 Prejudgment Interest; Rate
 - §9.29 Prejudgment Interest; Applicability

- §9.30 Prejudgment Interest; Appellate Review
- 10. Reasonable and Entire Compensation: Court of Federal Claims
 - §10.1 Constitutional Basis for 28 U.S.C. §1498; Eminent Domain
 - §10.2 Reasonable and Entire Compensation
 - §10.3 Authorization and Consent
 - §10.4 Comparison to the Tort of Patent Infringement
 - §10.5 Decisions of the Court of Federal Claims; Applicable Law; Appellate Review
- 11. Increased Damages and Attorney Fees
 - A. Fundamental Concepts
 - §11.1 Statutory Basis
 - §11.2 Increased Damages are Available Only in Cases of Willful Infringement
 - §11.3 Attorney Fees; Exceptional Conduct; Other Bases
 - §11.4 Increased Damages and Attorney Fees; Appellate Review
 - B. Willful Infringement
 - §11.5 Willful Infringement; Burden of Proof
 - §11.6 Willful Infringement; The Basic Inquiry
 - §11.7 Willful Infringement; Triggering a Duty of Care
 - §11.8 The Duty of Care Does Not Demand an Exculpatory Opinion of Counsel
 - §11.9 Evaluating Opinions of Counsel
 - §11.10 Circumstances That May Influence the Willfulness Determination
 - C. Increased Damages
 - §11.11 Increased Damages are Discretionary
 - D. Other Exceptional Conduct
 - §11.12 Exceptional Conduct
 - §11.13 Exceptionality; Burden of Proof
 - E. Attorney Fees
 - §11.14 Attorney Fees are Discretionary
 - §11.15 Attorney Fees; Prevailing Party
 - §11.16 Attorney Fees; Reasonableness; Amount and Covered Activity

IV—Conditions for Patentability

- 12. Eligibility: What Subject Matter Is Appropriate for Patenting?
 - §12.1 Eligibility Limited by Statute
 - §12.2 Caution Urged in Evaluating Older Precedent
 - §12.3 New Use
 - §12.4 Product-By-Process Claims
 - §12.5 Naturally Occurring Products; Living Organisms
 - §12.6 Printed Matter
 - §12.7 Algorithms, Software, and Programmed Machines
 - §12.8 Business Methods
 - §12.9 Patent-Like Protection: SIRs and Chips
 - §12.10 Eligibility; Appellate Review
- 13. Adequacy of the Claims

- §13.1 Claim Definiteness; Two Separate Requirements
- §13.2 Distinct Claiming in General
- §13.3 The Applicant's View of the Invention
- §13.4 Presumption of Compliance with §112¶2
- §13.5 Prosecution vs. Litigation; Patentability vs. Validity
- §13.6 Relation to Claim Construction
- §13.7 Relation to Other Defenses and Conditions for Patentability
- §13.8 Necessary and Extraneous Limitations
- §13.9 Words of Degree
- §13.10 Functional Claiming
- §13.11 Indefiniteness of Functional Claims
- §13.12 Claim Definiteness; Appellate Review
- 14. Adequacy of the Specification
 - §14.1 Specification Addressed to Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art
 - A. The Written Description Requirement
 - §14.2 Multiple Functions of the Written Description Requirement
 - §14.3 Adequacy of the Written Description: The "Possession" Test
 - §14.4 Genus/Species Disclosures; Chemical Compounds; Genetic Material
 - §14.5 The Prohibition of Amendments Containing New Matter
 - §14.6 New Matter and the Discredited "Late-Claiming" Doctrine
 - §14.7 New Matter; Relation to 35 U.S.C. §112¶1
 - §14.8 New Matter; Claims Part of Original Disclosure
 - §14.9 New Matter; Inherent Disclosure; Insubstantial Changes
 - §14.10 New Matter; A Strong Presumption of Administrative Correctness
 - §14.11 New Matter and CIP Practice
 - §14.12 Written Description as a Priority Determinant
 - §14.13 Inadequate Written Description as a Ground for Invalidity
 - §14.14 Written Description as a Limit on Claim Scope; The *Gentry Gallery* Doctrine
 - §14.15 Written Description; Appellate Review
 - B. The Enablement Requirement
 - §14.16 Enablement in General
 - §14.17 Enablement; Relation to Best Mode Requirement
 - §14.18 Enablement; Relation to Written Description Requirement
 - §14.19 Enablement; Relation to Utility and Operability
 - §14.20 Enablement Determined as of Filing Date
 - §14.21 Enablement Determined by Reference to One of Ordinary Skill in the Art
 - §14.22 Enablement; Extrinsic Material and Incorporation by Reference
 - §14.23 Only the Claimed Invention Need be Enabled
 - §14.24 Scope of Enablement
 - §14.25 Enablement to be Satisfied Without Undue Experiment
 - §14.26 Enablement; Living Organisms
 - §14.27 Proving Nonenablement; Presumption and Burden of Proof
 - §14.28 Enablement; Appellate Review

- C. The Best Mode Requirement
 - §14.29 Best Mode Requirement in General
 - §14.30 Relation of Best Mode to Enablement
 - §14.31 Best Mode; Compliance Evaluated as of Filing Date
 - §14.32 Best Mode: A Subjective Inquiry
 - §14.33 Concealment of the Best Mode
 - §14.34 Best Mode; Adequacy of Disclosure; An Objective Inquiry
 - §14.35 Only the Best Mode for the Claimed Invention Need Be Disclosed
 - §14.36 Best Mode; Reference to One of Ordinary Skill in Art
 - §14.37 Best Mode; Appellate Review
- 15. Novelty: The Concept of Anticipation By Prior Art
 - A. Introductory Concepts
 - §15.1 Anticipation; General Test
 - §15.2 Incorporation by Reference
 - §15.3 Combining References; Extrinsic Evidence
 - §15.4 Anticipation; Relation to 35 U.S.C. §103
 - §15.5 Prima Facie Anticipation; PTO Practice
 - §15.6 Anticipation; Appellate Review
 - B. Anticipation Requires Enablement or Reduction to Practice
 - §15.7 Anticipation by a Prior Art Document; Enablement Required
 - §15.8 Anticipation by Prior Knowledge or Use by Others; Enablement or Reduction to Practice Required
 - §15.9 Anticipation by Commercial Offer for Sale by Anyone or Public Use by Patentee; Reduction to Practice or Readiness for Patenting Required
 - §15.10 Nonenabling Prior Art
 - C. Identity
 - §15.11 Anticipation Requires Identity
 - §15.12 The Infringement Test for Identity
 - §15.13 Species/Genus Anticipation; Ranges; Additional Elements
 - §15.14 Old Products
 - D. Inherency
 - §15.15 Inherency in General
 - §15.16 Inherency Need Not Have Been Recognized At Time of Invention
 - §15.17 Inherency Does Not Embrace Possibilities or Probabilities
 - §15.18 Accidental or Unintended Results; Scientific Explanations
 - §15.19 Inherency May Supply the Entire Anticipatory Subject Matter
- 16.
 - A. Introductory Concepts
 - §16.1 Policies Underlying 35 U.S.C. §102
 - §16.2 Intrinsic Novelty vs. Legal Novelty; Lost Art
 - §16.3 Prior Art: General Definition
 - §16.4 Statutory Bar; Loss of Right to Patent
 - §16.5 Inventor's Own Work; One-year Grace Period
 - §16.6 Relation to Obviousness; Critical Date
 - §16.7 Constructive Accessibility

- §16.8 Prior Art; Appellate Review
- B. Statutory Bars
 - §16.9 Section 102(b) Events and References.
 - §16.10 Section 102(d) Events: Foreign Patenting; Section 119(a)
 - §16.11 Section 102(c) Events: Abandonment.
 - §16.12 Section 135(b) Events: Issued Patent
 - §16.13 Certain Statutory Prior Art Not a Statutory Bar
- C. Derivation; Originality
 - §16.14 Derivation of the Invention from Another
 - §16.15 Derivation; Relation to Obviousness Under §103; The Oddzon Ruling
 - §16.16 Derivation; Relation to Priority and Inurement
 - §16.17 Derivation; Relation to Inventorship
 - §16.18 Derivation; Appellate Review
- D. Printed Publications
 - §16.19 Printed Publication; In General
 - §16.20 “Printed” Publication; Format
 - §16.21 Printed “Publication”; Dissemination and Accessibility
 - §16.22 Printed Publication; Inventor’s Own Work
 - §16.23 Printed Publication; Enablement
 - §16.24 Printed Publication; Appellate Review
- E. Patenting and Patent Documents
 - §16.25 Patents As Prior Art and Statutory Bars
 - §16.26 Co-pending Patent Applications; 35 U.S.C. §102(e)
 - §16.27 Published U.S. Patent Applications; Abandoned Applications
 - §16.28 Foreign Patents and Patent Documents
- F. Use or Sale in General; “Ready for Patenting”
 - §16.29 Consequences of Public Use or Sale
 - §16.30 On Sale; Stage of Development; Prior Law
 - §16.31 On Sale; Stage of Development; The Pfaff “Ready for Patenting” Test
 - §16.32 Public Use; Stage of Development
- G. On Sale: Offers to Sell and Sales
 - §16.33 Purpose and Underlying Policies of the On Sale Bar
 - §16.34 Single Sale Sufficient
 - §16.35 Offers to Sell
 - §16.36 Locus of the Sale
 - §16.37 Relationship of Seller to Buyer or to Patentee
 - §16.38 Subject of the Sale
 - §16.39 On Sale; Burden of Proof and Appellate Review
- H. Public Use
 - §16.40 Public Use in General
 - §16.41 Secret Use
 - §16.42 Public Use; Relation to §102(g)
 - §16.43 Public Use; Burden of Proof and Appellate Review
- I. Experimental Use or Sale

- §16.44 Experimental Use or Sale in General
- §16.45 Actual Reduction to Practice Vitiates Experimental Purpose
- §16.46 Assessing Experimental Purpose
- §16.47 Experimental Purpose; Burden of Proof; Appellate Review
- J. Prior Knowledge
 - §16.48 Prior Knowledge Under §102(a)
 - §16.49 Knowledge or Use Under §102(a); Public Accessibility
 - §16.50 Proving Prior Knowledge or Use; Corroboration
 - §16.51 Relation of Prior Knowledge Under §102(a) to Prior Invention Under §102(g)
- K. Prior Invention
 - §16.52 Prior Invention Under 35 U.S.C. §102(g); Relation to Interference Practice and Interfering Patents
 - §16.53 Prior Invention as Prior Art
 - §16.54 Prior Invention; Reduction to Practice Required
 - §16.55 Prior Invention; Must Not Have Been Abandoned, Suppressed, or Concealed
 - §16.56 Prior Invention; Burden of Proof
- L. Establishing Priority
 - §16.57 Priority in General
 - §16.58 Antedating Prior Art
 - §16.59 Evidence of Foreign Activity; 35 U.S.C. §104
 - §16.60 Conception
 - §16.61 Conception; Inherency; No Nunc Pro Tunc Conception or Reduction to Practice
 - §16.62 Simultaneous Conception and Reduction to Practice
 - §16.63 Proof of Conception
 - §16.64 Corroboration of Conception
 - §16.65 Constructive Reduction to Practice
 - §16.66 Actual Reduction to Practice
 - §16.67 Reduction to Practice; When Testing Required
 - §16.68 Reduction to Practice of Chemical Compounds and Compositions
 - §16.69 Corroboration of Reduction to Practice
 - §16.70 Diligence in Reduction to Practice
 - §16.71 Abandonment, Suppression, or Concealment
 - §16.72 Priority; Appellate Review
 - §16.73 Critical Commentary: First to Invent vs. First to File
- M. Admissions
 - §16.74 Admissions As Prior Art
- 17. Obviousness
 - A. A Short History of the Obviousness Inquiry
 - §17.1 The Legacy of *Hotchkiss v. Greenwood*; Development of a Test for Patentable Invention
 - §17.2 A Heightened Standard of Patentable Invention
 - §17.3 The Patent Act of 1952 – Section 103
 - §17.4 The Impact of *Graham v. Deere*

- §17.5 The Impact of *KSR v. Teleflex*
 - B. Obviousness As an Ultimate Question of Law
 - §17.6 Obviousness; Appellate Review
 - §17.7 Obviousness; A “Real World” Inquiry
 - C. Factual Inquiry Underlying the Obviousness Determination
 - §17.8 Obviousness; The *Graham v. Deere* Test
 - §17.9 Obviousness; Combinations of Old Elements
 - §17.10 Obviousness; The Timing of the Inquiry
 - §17.11 Obviousness; The Manner in Which the Invention Is Made
 - D. Scope and Content of the Prior Art
 - §17.12 Analogous Art
 - §17.13 Prior Art That Teaches Away From the Invention
 - §17.14 Enablement; Simplicity; Commercial Acceptance (“Paper Patents”)
 - E. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art
 - §17.15 The Hypothetical Person of Ordinary Skill
 - §17.16 The Role of the Judge or Examiner
 - §17.17 Evidence and Findings on the Level of Ordinary Skill
 - §17.18 The Presumed Knowledge of a Person of Ordinary Skill
 - F. Differences Between the Claimed Invention and the Prior Art
 - §17.19 The Claimed Invention
 - §17.20 The Claimed Invention as a Whole
 - §17.21 Gist or Heart of the Invention
 - §17.22 Chemical Compositions and Compounds; Methods of Use
 - G. Objective Evidence of Nonobviousness
 - §17.23 Underlying Rationale
 - §17.24 Nexus Required
 - §17.25 Commercial Success
 - §17.26 Copying
 - §17.27 Licenses
 - §17.28 Long-standing Problem or Need
 - §17.29 Prior Failure
 - §17.30 Skepticism
 - §17.31 Unexpected Results
 - §17.32 Objective Evidence That Points to Obviousness
 - H. Resolution of the Legal Question; Combining References
 - §17.33 The Rise of the TSM Test
 - §17.34 The Impact of *KSR v. Teleflex* on the TSM Test
 - §17.35 Rejection by the Federal Circuit of an “Obvious to Try” Test
 - §17.36 Reinstatement of an “Obvious to Try” Test in *KSR v. Teleflex*
 - §17.37 Prima Facie Obviousness
 - §17.38 Alternative Statements About Combining References
 - §17.39 Miscellaneous Rules of Decision
 - §17.40 Critical Commentary: Obviousness
- 18. Utility and Operability
 - §18.1 Infringement Establishes Utility

§18.2 Utility is a Constitutional Requirement
§18.3 Specific and Substantial Utility
§18.4 No Disqualification of Immoral, Frivolous, or Anti-Societal Inventions
§18.5 Establishing Utility
§18.6 Operability in General; Relation to 35 U.S.C. §112
§18.7 Operability; “Incredible Utility”
§18.8 Therapeutic or Pharmacological Utility
§18.9 Utility and Operability; Appellate Review

19. Designs and Plants

- A. Designs as Eligible Subject Matter for Patenting
 - §19.1 Design Patent; Statutory Basis
 - §19.2 Design Patent; Scope of Protection
 - §19.3 Design Patent; Specification and Claims; Claim Construction
- B. Conditions for Patentability of a Design; Validity
 - §19.4 Ornamentality; General Test
 - §19.5 Ornamentality; Design Distinguished from Article
 - §19.6 Ornamentality; No Requirement of Aesthetic Merit
 - §19.7 Ornamentality; No Requirement of Visibility in Use
 - §19.8 Novelty
 - §19.9 Obviousness; In General
 - §19.10 Obviousness; Person of Ordinary Skill
 - §19.11 Obviousness; Scope and Content of Prior Art
 - §19.12 Obviousness; Combining References: The *Harvey* “Primary Reference” Test
 - §19.13 Obviousness; Objective Evidence
 - §19.14 Compliance with 35 U.S.C. §112
 - §19.15 Priority Considerations
 - §19.16 Inventorship
 - §19.17 Double Patenting
- C. Infringement of Design Patents
 - §19.18 Infringement in General; Two Distinct Tests
 - §19.19 Infringement; Ordinary Observer Test
 - §19.20 Infringement; Point of Novelty Test
 - §19.21 Infringement by Equivalence
 - §19.22 Exhaustion of Design Patent Rights
- D. Remedies for Infringement of Design Patents
 - §19.23 Design Remedies in General
 - §19.24 Alternative Remedy
- E. Judicial Review in Design Patent Cases
 - §19.25 Standard of Review in Design Cases
- F. Plants and Plant Varieties
 - §19.26 Patents on New Plant Varieties; The Plant Patent Act
 - §19.27 Statutory Bars to Plant Patents
 - §19.28 Patent-Like Protection; The Plant Variety Protection Act of 1970 (PVPA)

§19.29 Utility Patents on Plants

V—Patenting an Invention

- 20. Ex Parte Patent Prosecution
 - §20.1 The Task of the PTO
 - §20.2 The Principal Administrative Officers of the PTO: The Director, the Board, and Examiners
 - §20.3 The Principal Reference Sources That Govern PTO Practice and Procedure: The Rules (37 C.F.R) and the MPEP
 - §20.4 Patent Applications; Secrecy; Protest
 - §20.5 Examination of Applications
 - §20.6 Presumptions and Standard of Proof in the PTO; Prima Facie Unpatentability
 - §20.7 Evidentiary Considerations
 - §20.8 Claim Construction
 - §20.9 Continuation and Divisional Practice
 - §20.10 Establishing Priority in the Ex Parte Setting; 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120; Rule 131
 - §20.11 Attorneys and Agents
 - §20.12 Intra-Agency Review
 - §20.13 Court Review of PTO Decisions
 - §20.14 Court Review of PTO Decisions; Standard of Review
- 21. Conflicting Claims to Priority: Interferences
 - §21.1 First to Invent
 - §21.2 Priority in General; 35 U.S.C. §102(g)
 - §21.3 Interference Procedure in General
 - §21.4 Evidentiary Considerations
 - §21.5 Interference-in-Fact; Same Patentable Invention
 - §21.6 The Concept of a “Count” in Interference Practice
 - §21.7 Settlement of Interference
 - §21.8 Interfering Patents
 - §21.9 Court Review of PTO Decisions; Standard of Review

VI—Amendment and Correction of Patents

- 22. Reissue, Reexamination, and Other Corrective Procedures
 - A. Certificate of Correction
 - §22.1 Mistakes in a Patent; Certificate of Correction
 - §22.2 Certificate of Correction; Appellate Review
 - B. Disclaimer
 - §22.3 Disclaimer of Invalid Claim
 - §22.4 Terminal Disclaimer
 - §22.5 Disclaimers; Appellate Review
 - C. Reissue
 - §22.6 Reissue; Statutory Authorization
 - §22.7 Reissue; Grounds and Conditions
 - §22.8 Error Without Deceptive Intent

- §22.9 Same Invention
- §22.10 Recapture
- §22.11 Broadening Reissue; Two-year Limitation
- §22.12 Effect of Reissue; 35 U.S.C. §252¶1
- §22.13 Effect of Reissue; Intervening Rights; 35 U.S.C. §252¶2
- §22.14 Equitable Intervening Rights
- §22.15 Substantial Identity
- §22.16 Reissue and Intervening Rights; Appellate Review
- D. Reexamination
 - §22.17 Purpose and Validity of Reexamination Proceedings
 - §22.18 Who May File Request for Reexamination
 - §22.19 Reexamination; General Procedure
 - §22.20 Participation by Requester Other Than the Patentee; Optional Inter Partes Reexamination
 - §22.21 Prior Art Considered During Reexamination
 - §22.22 No Enlargement of Claim Scope Permitted
 - §22.23 Effect of Reexamination
 - §22.24 Intervening Rights
 - §22.25 Reexamination and Intervening Rights; Appellate Review
- E. Correction of Inventorship
 - §22.26 The Rules of Inventorship
 - §22.27 Statutory Authorization for Correction
 - §22.28 Consequences of Error in Inventorship
 - §22.29 Error: Deception vs. Innocent Mistake
 - §22.30 Presumption of Correct Inventorship; Burden of Proof
 - §22.31 Diligence in Seeking Correction
 - §22.32 Correction of Inventorship; Appellate Review
- F. Interfering Patents
 - §22.33 Interfering Patents in General
 - §22.34 Interference As a Jurisdictional Requirement
 - §22.35 Interfering Patents; Appellate Review
- G. Cancellation of Patents
 - §22.36 Cancellation, Revocation, or Annulment of Patents Procured by Fraud

VII—Ownership of a Patent or a Patentable Invention

- 23. Acquisition of Patent Rights
 - A. Patents as Property; Joint Ownership
 - §23.1 Patents as Property
 - §23.2 Joint Ownership: Practicing the Invention
 - §23.3 Ownership; Appellate Review
 - B. Acquisition of Rights By Invention; The Rules of Inventorship
 - §23.4 Inventors in General; Concoivers
 - §23.5 Inventorship vs. Ownership
 - §23.6 Inventorship; Appellate Review
 - C. Joint Inventorship

§23.7 Joint Inventorship; Joinder Required; Correction
§23.8 Joint Inventorship; Statutory Rule
§23.9 Proving Joint Invention; Overcoming the Presumption;
Corroboration

- D. Contracts Affecting Patents
 - §23.10 Contract Interpretation; Appellate Review
- E. Acquisition of Rights By Assignment
 - §23.11 Assignments vs. Licenses
 - §23.12 Assignments in General
 - §23.13 Assignments of Inventions; Agreements to Assign
- F. Acquisition of Rights By License
 - §23.14 Patent Licenses in General
 - §23.15 Interpretation of Patent Licenses; Governing Law
 - §23.16 Material Breach; Remedies; Termination
 - §23.17 Recoupment of Royalties by Licensee
- G. Assignor and Licensee Estoppel
 - §23.18 The Abolition of Licensee Estoppel; *Lear v. Adkins*
 - §23.19 Assignor Estoppel; Historical Basis
 - §23.20 Assignor Estoppel; Erosion of the Doctrine
 - §23.21 Assignor Estoppel; A Reinvigorated Doctrine; *Diamond v. Ambico*
 - §23.22 Assignor Estoppel; Modern Application
 - §23.23 Assignor Estoppel; Privity
 - §23.24 Assignor Estoppel; Contracts
 - §23.25 Assignor Estoppel; Applicable Law; Appellate Review
 - §23.26 Assignee Estoppel
- H. Acquisition of Rights By Implication; Implied License
 - §23.27 Implied License in General
 - §23.28 License Implied by Unrestricted, Authorized Sale of Patented Item
 - §23.29 What Is an Authorized Sale?
 - §23.30 What Is a Valid Sale or License Restriction?
 - §23.31 License Implied by Sale of Unpatented Item
 - §23.32 License Implied by Full Compensation
 - §23.33 License Implied by Estoppel
 - §23.34 License Implied by Employment
 - §23.35 Implied License; Appellate Review
- I. The Employed Inventor: Shoprights and Contracts to Assign
 - §23.36 The Employed Inventor in General
 - §23.37 The Employed Inventor; Express and Implied Agreements to Assign Inventions
 - §23.38 The Employed Inventor; Shopright as an Implied License

VIII—Enforcement of a Patent: Procedural Aspects

- 24. Government Immunity
 - §24.1 Federal Government Immunity
 - §24.2 State Immunity from Patent Infringement Suits and Other Patent Litigation

- §24.3 Foreign State Immunity
- 25. Jurisdictional Considerations at the Trial Court Level
 - A. Subject Matter Jurisdiction
 - §25.1 Patent Jurisdiction in General
 - §25.2 “Arising Under” Jurisdiction: The General Test of *Christianson v. Colt*
 - §25.3 Jurisdiction Over Contract and License Agreement Disputes and State Tort Claims
 - §25.4 Jurisdiction Over Title and Inventorship Disputes
 - §25.5 Ancillary Jurisdiction to Enforce Settlement Agreements
 - §25.6 Subject Matter Jurisdiction; Appellate Review
 - B. Declaratory Judgments (DJs)
 - §25.7 DJ Jurisdiction in General
 - §25.8 DJ Jurisdiction in Patent Matters
 - §25.9 DJ Jurisdiction Of Patent Infringement Controversies; The Former Two-pronged Test
 - §25.10 Preparations to Infringe
 - §25.11 Reasonable Apprehension of Suit
 - §25.12 The Impact of *Medimmune*; Licensees; Other Circumstances
 - §25.13 Priority of Litigation; The “Customer Suit” Doctrine; Stays and Dismissals
 - §25.14 DJ Jurisdiction Of Other Patent-Related Controversies
 - §25.15 DJ Jurisdiction; Appellate Review
 - C. Mootness and Ripeness
 - §25.16 Challenge to Administrative Action; Ripeness
 - §25.17 Mootness and Noninfringement
 - D. Appropriate and Necessary Parties; Standing to Sue
 - §25.18 Constitutional Standing in General
 - §25.19 Standing to Challenge PTO Action
 - §25.20 Standing to Sue for Patent Infringement; General Principles
 - §25.21 Standing to Sue for Patent Infringement; Patentees and Assignees; Co-owners
 - §25.22 Standing to Sue for Patent Infringement; Exclusive Licensees
 - §25.23 Standing to Sue for Patent Infringement; Nonexclusive Licensees
 - §25.24 Exclusive vs. Nonexclusive Licenses; Virtual Assignments
 - §25.25 Standing to Litigate Other Patent Questions
 - §25.26 Standing to Sue; Appellate Review
 - E. Venue
 - §25.27 Venue in General
 - §25.28 Venue for Patent Infringement Suits Against Corporate Defendants
 - §25.29 Venue for Patent Infringement Suits Against Noncorporate Entities and Individuals
 - §25.30 Venue for Aliens and Nonresident Patentees
 - §25.31 Venue for Patent DJ Actions
 - §25.32 Waiver of Venue; Defense of Customers
 - §25.33 Improper or Inconvenient Forum; Transfers

- §25.34 Multidistrict Litigation
 - F. Personal Jurisdiction; Nonresident Defendants
 - §25.35 Constitutional Limits on Personal Jurisdiction; Due Process and Minimum Contacts; The Role of State Long-Arm Statutes
 - §25.36 Personal Jurisdiction; Applicable Law
 - §25.37 Concepts Governing the Exercise of Personal Jurisdiction: Minimum Contacts; Specific vs. General Jurisdiction; Stream of Commerce Theory
 - §25.38 Personal Jurisdiction of Accused Infringers
 - §25.39 Personal Jurisdiction of Patentees; DJ Actions
 - §25.40 Waiver of Personal Jurisdiction and Venue
 - §25.41 Pendent Personal Jurisdiction
 - §25.42 Personal Jurisdiction and Venue; Appellate Review
- 26. Motions, Discovery and Pretrial Matters
 - A. Pleading and Rule 12 Motions
 - §26.1 Affirmative Defenses and Other Defenses That Must Be Pleaded
 - §26.2 Compulsory Counterclaims
 - §26.3 Amendments to Pleadings
 - §26.4 Joinder of Parties
 - §26.5 Rule 12(b) Practice in General
 - §26.6 Rule 12(b) Motions Regarding Jurisdiction
 - §26.7 Rule 12(b)(6) Motions to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim Upon Which Relief Can Be Granted
 - §26.8 Rule 11 Considerations
 - §26.9 Responsibilities of Lawyers in Patent Litigation
 - B. Pretrial Practice in General
 - §26.10 Separate Trial; Severance
 - §26.11 Use of Special Masters in Patent Cases
 - §26.12 Pretrial Practice; Appellate Review
 - C. Discovery
 - §26.13 Discovery; Governing Law; Local Rules
 - §26.14 Discovery; Appellate Review
 - D. Summary Judgment
 - §26.15 General Rules Governing Summary Judgment; *Anderson v. Liberty Lobby*
 - §26.16 Summary Judgment; Procedural Issues in Patent Cases
 - §26.17 Rule 56(f) Considerations in Patent Cases
 - §26.18 Summary Judgment; Substantive Patent Issues
 - §26.19 Summary Judgment; Claim Construction as a Determinant of Infringement
 - §26.20 Summary Judgment; Appellate Review
- 27. Trial and Judgment
 - A. Conduct of Trials
 - §27.1 Trial Conduct; Appellate Review
 - B. Jury Trials
 - §27.2 Right to Jury Trial in General

- §27.3 Right to Jury Trial in Patent Cases
- §27.4 Right to Jury Trial; Impact of *Beacon Theatres*
- §27.5 Right to Jury Trial; Specific Patent Issues
- §27.6 Right to Jury Trial; Appellate Review
- §27.7 Jury Practice; Governing Law
- §27.8 Jury Practice; No Special Rules for Patent Cases
- §27.9 Jury Practice; Legal Questions; Patent Validity
- §27.10 Jury Practice; Legal Questions; Claim Construction
- §27.11 Jury Practice; Fact Questions; Infringement
- §27.12 Jury Practice; Pre-Submission and Post-Verdict Motions
- §27.13 Jury Practice; Appellate Review in General
- §27.14 Appellate Review of Jury Instructions
- §27.15 Appellate Review of Motions for JMOL
- §27.16 Appellate Review Conditioned by Form of Verdict
- §27.17 Appellate Review Conditioned by Post-Verdict Motions
- §27.18 Appellate Review of Patent Issues
- C. Evidence and Witnesses
 - §27.19 Evidentiary Rulings; Governing Law
 - §27.20 Evidentiary Rulings; Appellate Review
 - §27.21 Expert Witnesses in General
 - §27.22 Expert Witnesses; *Daubert* Considerations
 - §27.23 Expert Testimony in Patent Cases; Applying *Daubert*
 - §27.24 Patent Lawyers as Expert Witnesses
 - §27.25 Independent Technical Advisors
 - §27.26 Patent Examiners; The Rule of *United States v. Morgan*
 - §27.27 Attorney-Client Privilege and Work Product Immunity in General
 - §27.28 Privilege Issues in Patent Cases
 - §27.29 Waiver of Privilege and Immunity in Patent Cases
 - §27.30 Hearsay and Admissions
 - §27.31 Offer of Compromise
 - §27.32 Judicial Notice
- D. Judgment and Post-Trial Motions
 - §27.33 Consent Judgments
 - §27.34 Findings of Fact
 - §27.35 Motions Under Rule 41(b)
 - §27.36 Motions for New Trial; Rule 59
 - §27.37 Motions to Amend Judgment; Rule 59(e)
 - §27.38 Motions for Relief From Judgment; Rule 60(b)(2); Newly Discovered Evidence
 - §27.39 Motions for Relief From Judgment; Rule 60(b)(3); Fraud
 - §27.40 Post-Trial Practice in Jury Cases
 - §27.41 Offer of Judgment
 - §27.42 Post-Trial Motions; Standard of Review; Governing Law
- 28. Preclusion by Judgment
 - §28.1 Res Judicata; Nomenclature; Applicable Law
 - §28.2 Res Judicata; Appellate Review

- A. Claim Preclusion: Bar and Merger
 - §28.3 Claim Preclusion; General Principles
 - §28.4 Claim Preclusion; Identity of Claims; Splitting a Cause of Action
 - §28.5 Claim Preclusion; Identity of Parties; Privity
 - §28.6 Claim Preclusion; Nature of Proceedings
 - §28.7 Claim Preclusion; Nature of Judgment; Jurisdictional Considerations
 - §28.8 Claim Preclusion; Nature of Forum
- B. Issue Preclusion: Collateral Estoppel: The *Blonder-Tongue* Doctrine
 - §28.9 Issue Preclusion; General Principles
 - §28.10 Issue Preclusion; The Actual Litigation Requirement
 - §28.11 Issue Preclusion; The Requirement That the Issue Was Necessary to the Prior Judgment
 - §28.12 Issue Preclusion; Identity of Issues; Unadjudicated Patents, Patent Claims, and Accused Infringements
 - §28.13 Issue Preclusion; The Fair Opportunity to Litigate Requirement
 - §28.14 Issue Preclusion; Nature of the Judgment
 - §28.15 Issue Preclusion; Mutuality of Estoppel; The *Blonder-Tongue* Doctrine
 - §28.16 Issue Preclusion; Unenforceability
 - §28.17 Issue Preclusion; Claim Construction Rulings; Infringement Issues
 - §28.18 The Doctrine of *Kessler v. Eldred*
 - §28.19 Issue Preclusion; Offensive vs. Defensive Use
- C. Consent Judgments and Settlement Agreements
 - §28.20 Consent Judgments; Applicable Law
 - §28.21 Consent Judgment; Treated as Contract
 - §28.22 Consent Judgment; May be Issue Preclusive as Well as Claim Preclusive
 - §28.23 Dismissal With Prejudice Based Upon Settlement
- D. Other Estoppels
 - §28.24 Interference Estoppel
 - §28.25 Licensee and Assignor Estoppel
 - §28.26 Equitable Estoppel
 - §28.27 Judicial Estoppel
 - §28.28 Preclusion of Inconsistent Positions
- E. Law of the Case
 - §28.29 Law of the Case; General Principles
 - §28.30 Law of the Case: Patent Questions
 - §28.31 Law of the Case; Courts of Coordinate Jurisdiction
- F. Stare Decisis
 - §28.32 Binding Precedent; Governing Law
 - §28.33 Nonbinding Prior Adjudications
- G. Comity
 - §28.34 Comity

IX—Enforcement of a Patent: Substantive Aspects

- 29. Defenses to a Patent Infringement Suit
 - A. Defenses in General
 - §29.1 Statutory Defenses
 - §29.2 Section 282 Notice of Invalidity Defenses
 - §29.3 Noninfringement
 - §29.4 Absence of Liability for Infringement
 - §29.5 Invalidity
 - §29.6 Unenforceability
 - §29.7 Nonstatutory Defenses
 - §29.8 Jurisdictional and Constitutional Defenses
 - §29.9 Failure of the PTO to Observe its Own Regulations and Procedures
 - B. Presumption of Validity
 - §29.10 Presumption of Validity; Theoretical Basis
 - §29.11 Presumption of Validity; Statutory Basis
 - §29.12 Presumption of Validity; Burden of Proof of Invalidity
 - §29.13 Patents Not to Be Held “Valid”
 - §29.14 Presumption Not Applicable in PTO Proceedings
 - §29.15 Applicability in Various Court Proceedings
 - §29.16 Presumption Applicable to All Conditions and Requirements for Patentability
 - §29.17 Presumption Applicable Even in the Face of Evidence Not Before the PTO
 - §29.18 Effect of Prior Adjudication
 - C. Laches and Estoppel
 - §29.19 Laches and Equitable Estoppel As Defenses; Burden of Proof
 - §29.20 Laches; Elements of Proof; Exercise of Discretion
 - §29.21 Presumption of Laches After Six-Year Delay
 - §29.22 Measuring the Period of Delay
 - §29.23 Rebutting the Presumption of Laches; Justification for Delay
 - §29.24 Effect of Rebutting the Presumption of Laches
 - §29.25 Proving Laches in Absence of Presumption; Prejudice
 - §29.26 Equitable Estoppel; Elements of Proof
 - §29.27 Equitable Estoppel; No Presumption of Reasonable Reliance or Material Prejudice
 - §29.28 Equitable Estoppel; Misleading Conduct
 - §29.29 Equitable Estoppel; Reasonable Reliance
 - §29.30 Equitable Estoppel; Material Prejudice; Detriment
 - §29.31 Effect of Proof of Laches or Estoppel Elements
 - §29.32 Laches and Equitable Estoppel; Standard of Review
 - §29.33 Prosecution Laches; Diligence
 - D. Limitations
 - §29.34 Six-Year Statute of Limitations
 - E. Marking and Notice
 - §29.35 Marking and Notice; The Statutory Requirement
 - §29.36 Need to Mark or Give Notice; Licensees; Marking Estoppel
 - §29.37 Need to Mark or Give Notice; Method Patents

- §29.38 Actual Notice
 - §29.39 Marking
 - §29.40 Purpose of the Marking or Notice Requirement
 - §29.41 Marking and Notice; Standard of Review
 - §29.42 False Marking
 - F. Double Patenting
 - §29.43 Double Patenting; Two Distinct Concepts: Same-Invention and Obviousness
 - §29.44 Double Patenting; Same Inventive Entity or Common Ownership
 - §29.45 Statutory Double Patenting; Same Invention Test
 - §29.46 Nonstatutory Double Patenting; Obviousness Test
 - §29.47 Nonstatutory Double Patenting; Two-Way Test
 - §29.48 Design/Utility Double Patenting
 - §29.49 Avoiding Nonstatutory Double Patenting: Terminal Disclaimer
 - §29.50 Exemption from Double Patenting: Restriction; Consonance
 - §29.51 Double Patenting; Burden of Proof and Standard of Review
 - G. Intervening Rights
 - H. License Defenses
 - I. Alternative Dispute Resolution; Arbitration
 - §29.52 Arbitration of Patent Infringement and Validity Disputes
- 30. Unenforceability as a Defense: Inequitable Conduct
 - A. Fundamental Concepts
 - §30.1 Inequitable Conduct Defined
 - §30.2 Relation to Common Law Fraud
 - §30.3 Burden of Proof; Pleading
 - §30.4 Consequences of Inequitable Conduct
 - §30.5 No Right to Jury Trial; Discretionary Role of Trial Court
 - §30.6 Appellate Review of Inequitable Conduct
 - §30.7 A Modern Rationale for the Defense of Inequitable Conduct
 - B. Materiality of the Information or Representation
 - §30.8 PTO Standard of Materiality; Old Rule 56
 - §30.9 PTO Standard of Materiality; New Rule 56
 - §30.10 Court Standard of Materiality
 - §30.11 “But-for” Materiality Not a Viable Standard
 - §30.12 Cumulative Information Not Material
 - §30.13 Misinformation Almost Always Material
 - §30.14 Materiality of Information About Prior Art
 - §30.15 Materiality of Other Information
 - C. Knowledge – Actual and Imputed
 - §30.16 Duty of Candor: To Whom Applicable?
 - §30.17 The Knowledge Requirement
 - §30.18 Could Have Been Aware; No Affirmative Duty to Search
 - §30.19 Should Have Been Aware; Duty of Reasonable Inquiry
 - §30.20 Knowledge on the Part of the Examiner
 - D. Intent
 - §30.21 The Intent Requirement

- §30.22 Proof of Intent; Burden
 - §30.23 Proof of Intent; Usually a Matter of Inference
 - §30.24 Proof of Intent; Gross Negligence
 - §30.25 Affirmative Falsification
 - §30.26 Refuting Intent; Evidence of Subjective Good Faith
 - E. Balancing Materiality and Intent
 - §30.27 The Balancing Test
- 31. Patent Antitrust and the Defense of Misuse
 - A. Fundamental Concepts
 - §31.1 The Sherman, Clayton, and FTC Acts
 - §31.2 Patent Antitrust: Enforcement and Standing
 - §31.3 Patent Antitrust and Misuse: Applicable Law
 - §31.4 Lawful Acquisition and Exploitation
 - §31.5 Monopoly Power in the Relevant Market
 - §31.6 The First Sale (or Patent Exhaustion) Doctrine; Implied License
 - B. The Doctrine of Patent Misuse
 - §31.7 Patent Misuse
 - §31.8 Per Se Misuse vs. Rule of Reason
 - §31.9 Statutory Exceptions to the Misuse Doctrine
 - C. Acquisition of Patents as an Antitrust Violation or Misuse
 - §31.10 Acquisition of Patents by Grant or Purchase
 - §31.11 Acquisition by Grantback Agreement
 - §31.12 Cross-licensing and Pooling
 - D. Enforcement of a Patent as Antitrust Violation or Misuse
 - §31.13 Antitrust Immunity: The *Noerr-Pennington* Doctrine
 - §31.14 Lawful Enforcement; Threats to Sue; Presumption of Good Faith
 - §31.15 Enforcement of a Patent Procured by Fraud: *Walker Process* Claims
 - §31.16 Bad Faith Enforcement of a Patent: *Handgards* Claims
 - §31.17 Enforcement of a Patent in Furtherance of and as an Integral Part of a Plan to Violate the Antitrust Laws
 - E. Patent License Provisions (or Sales Restrictions) That Constitute Misuse or Violate the Antitrust Laws
 - §31.18 Exclusive vs. Nonexclusive Arrangements
 - §31.19 Arrangements That Extend the Physical Scope of the Patent
 - §31.20 Tying Goods or Services
 - §31.21 Tying; No Presumption of Market Power
 - §31.22 Tying Nonstaples; Contributory Infringement
 - §31.23 Tying Patents; Package Licensing
 - §31.24 Restrictions on Competitive Products; “Tie-outs”
 - §31.25 Price Restrictions; Resale Price Maintenance
 - §31.26 Quantity Restrictions
 - §31.27 Customer Restrictions
 - §31.28 Restrictions on Territory or Selection of Licensees

- §31.29 Use Restrictions
- §31.30 Royalty Rate
- §31.31 Royalty Base
- §31.32 Arrangements That Extend the Temporal Scope of the Patent:
 - Preissuance and Postexpiration Royalties
- F. Other Agreements or Conduct Regarding Patents and Inventions That May Invoke Antitrust or Misuse Scrutiny
 - §31.33 Agreement Not to Contest Validity; Settlement Agreements
 - §31.34 Refusal to License or Use Patents; Concerted Refusals
 - §31.35 Concerted Refusal to Accept License; Group Boycotts
 - §31.36 Standard-Setting Organizations and Patents
 - §31.37 Unpatented Inventions; Trade Secrets
- G. State Antitrust Laws
 - §31.38 State Patent-Antitrust Claims
- 32. Torts Involving Patents; Preemption Issues
 - §32.1 Torts by Patent Owners; Federal Jurisdiction Over State Law Claims
 - §32.2 Torts by Patent Owners; Applicable Law
 - §32.3 Torts by Patent Owners; Federal Preemption
 - §32.4 Torts Claims That Are Not Preempted
 - §32.5 Patent “Hold Ups” and Standard-Setting Organizations; Bid Specifications
 - §32.6 Torts against Patents and Patent Owners

X—Appellate Review of Patent Decisions

- 33. Jurisdictional Considerations at the Appellate Level
 - §33.1 Federal Circuit Jurisdiction; Governing Law
 - A. PTO Decisions
 - §33.2 Appeals From the PTO
 - B. Decisions of District Courts
 - §33.3 Patent Decisions of District Courts; In General
 - §33.4 The Well-Pleaded Complaint Rule Fixes Federal Circuit Jurisdiction
 - §33.5 The Impact of Subsequent Pleadings on Federal Circuit Jurisdiction
 - §33.6 Appellate Jurisdiction Over Pendent Claims
 - §33.7 The Impact of Other Post-Complaint Events on Federal Circuit Jurisdiction
 - §33.8 Consequences of Lack of Jurisdiction: Dismissal or Transfer
 - C. Decisions of Other Tribunals
 - §33.9 Appeals From Other Tribunals
- 34. Finality, Appealability, and Scope of Review
 - A. Finality and Appealability
 - §34.1 Finality; General Principles
 - §34.2 Appealable Interlocutory Patent Decisions; 28 U.S.C. §1292(a)(1) & (c)(2)
 - §34.3 Certification of Issues for Appeal; 28 U.S.C. §1292(b)
 - §34.4 Certification of Claims for Appeal; Rule 54(b), FRCP

§34.5 Appealability of Collateral Orders; The *Cohen* Exception

§34.6 Review by Extraordinary Writ

B. Scope of Review

§34.7 Remands

§34.8 Remands to the PTO

§34.9 Waiver

§34.10 Need for Cross-Appeal

§34.11 Standing to Appeal

§34.12 Separate Claims of a Patent

§34.13 Mootness in General

§34.14 Mootness and Noninfringement

Statutory Appendix